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## 2014-15 Early Years Foundation Stage - Learning Outcomes Dashboards

| Dashboards | Changes Since Previous <br> Version |
| :--- | :---: |
| Percentage of pupils achieving A Good Level Of Development | New dashboard added |
| Low Achievers Gap | New dashboard added |

2014-15, EYFS - Percentage of pupils achieving A Good Level Of Development*


Key Issues: Please note data prior to 2012 is not comparable due to the introduction of the revised framework. The statistical neighbour list for Leeds was revised in October 2014, therefore any comparisons should be made with caution. Previous years data has been included to provide a context for Leeds' performance when compared to the national, statistical neighbour and core cities average. Leeds has seen an increase of four percentage points in 2015, but remains behind the national and statistical neighbour average.

Data Status: Provisional
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR 36-2015
Data Notes: *Children are defined as having reached a good level of development at the end of the EYFS if they achieve at least the expected level in the é prime areas of learning (personal, social and emotional development; physical development; and communication and language) and the early learning goals mathematics and literacy.



England Rank
116/151

| National Quartile | Change from last <br> year |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2.7 \%$ points |  |$|$

Key Issues: Please note data prior to 2012 is not comparable due to the introduction of the revised framework. The statistical neighbour list for Leeds was revised in October 2014, therefore any comparisons should be made with caution. Previous years data has been included to provide a context for Leeds' performance when compared to the national, statistical neighbour and core cities average. Performance in Leeds has improved by 2.7 percentage points but is still remains behind the national average and the statistical neighbour figure ( 0.2 percentage points).

Data Status: Provisional
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR 36-2015
Data Notes: *The percentage gap in achievement between the lowest 20 per cent of achieving children in a local authority (mean score), and the score of the media.

## 2014-15 Early Years Foundation Stage - Learning Outcomes Dashboards - Pupil Groups

| Dashboards | Changes Since Previous <br> Version |
| :--- | :--- |
| Percentage of pupils achieving a Good Level Of Development - FSM | New dashboard added |
| Percentage of pupils achieving a Good Level Of Development - EAL | New dashboard added |
| Percentage of pupils achieving a Good Level Of Development - SEN provision | New dashboard added |
| Percentage of pupils achieving a Good Level Of Development - Ethnicity | New dashboard added |
| Percentage of pupils achieving a Good Level Of Development - Gender | New dashboard added |



| National Quartile <br> Position | Change from last <br> year |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4th quartile | $6 \%$ points |

2014-15, EYFS - Percentage of pupils achieving a Good Level Of Development - English as an additional language (EAL)


| England Rank | Equal 109/146 |
| :--- | :--- |



Key Issues: In Leeds the percentage of EAL achieving a good level of development has increased by 19 percentage points and the gap between Leeds EAL and national EAL is five percentage points. The gap between Leeds EAL and non-EAL is 16 percentage points compared to a gap of 8 percentage points nationally.

Data Status: Provisional
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release 362015 additional tables
Data Notes:

2014-15, EYFS - Percentage of pupils achieving a Good Level Of Development - SEN provision


|  | England Rank | National Quartile <br> Position | Change from <br> last year |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Non SEN | Equal 117/151 | 4th quartile | N/A Revised categories |
| for 2015 |  |  |  |

Key Issues: In Leeds pupils who are categorised as 'SEN Support' have performed better the national average by two percentage points.

## Data Status: Provisional

Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release 362015 additional tables
Data Notes: From 2015, pupils with SEN have been grouped into the following categories: SEN support (replaces the former 'School Action' and 'School Action Plus) and Statement/EHC plan - a pupil has a statement of SEN or an EHC plan when a formal assessment has been made. This change is due to SEND reforms which came into effect in 2014.
No ranking or national quartile information available for Statemented or EHC category of SEN due to data supression


2014/15, EYFS - Percentage of pupils achieving a Good Level Of Development - Ethnicity


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { D } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ |  | England Rank | National Quartile Position | Change from last year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White | Equal 104/146 | 3rd quartile |  |
|  | Mixed | Equal 128/145 | 4th quartile | 7 |
|  | Asian | Equal 125/145 | 4th quartile | 4 |
|  | Black | Equal 98/127 | 3rd quartile | 3 |
|  | Chinese | Equal 56/110 | 2nd quartile | 15 |

Key Issues: Leeds is below the national average in all groups, however the percentage of good level of development has improved across all groups in Leeds with the Chinese pupils improving by 15 percentage points.

Data Status: Provisonal
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release 362015 additional tables
Data Notes:

2014-15, EYFS - Percentage of pupils achieving a Good Level Of Development - Gender


England Rank - Boys Equal 119/151

| National Quartile <br> Position | Change from last <br> year |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3rd quartile |  |
|  | $3 \%$ point |


| England Rank - Girls | Equal 122/151 |
| :--- | :--- |


| National Quartile <br> Position | Change from last <br> year |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4th quartile | $4 \%$ point |

Key Issues: Girls in Leeds are out performing boys by 16 percentage points which mirrors the national trend where the gap is 15 percentage points. Performance of boys in Leeds is five percentage point below performance nationally and girls' performance in Leeds is four percentage point behind the national figure.

Data Status: Provisional
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release 362015 additional tables
Data Notes:


## 2014-15, Key Stage 2 - Learning Outcomes Dashboards

| Dashboards | Changes Since Previous Version |
| :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of pupils achieving level 4 or above - Reading | Dashboard Updated |
| Percentage of pupils achieving level 4 or above - Writing | Dashboard Updated |
| Percentage of pupils achieving level 4 or above - Maths | Dashboard Updated |
| Percentage of pupils achieving level 4 or above - Reading, Writing and Maths | Dashboard Updated |
| Percentage of pupils achieving level 4 or above - grammar, punctuation and spelling test | Dashboard Updated |
| Percentage of pupils achieving level 5 or above - Reading | Dashboard Updated |
| Percentage of pupils achieving level 5 or above - Writing | Dashboard Updated |
| Percentage of pupils achieving level 5 or above - Maths | Dashboard Updated |
| Percentage of pupils achieving level 5 or above - Reading, Writing and Maths | Dashboard Updated |
| Percentage of pupils achieving level 5 or above - grammar, punctuation and spelling test | Dashboard Updated |
| Percentage of pupils making expected progress between key stage 1 and key stage 2 - Reading | Dashboard Updated |
| Percentage of pupils making expected progress between key stage 1 and key stage 2 - Writing | National Quartile Position Upd |
| Percentage of pupils making expected progress between key stage 1 and key stage 2 - Maths | Dashboard Updated |
| Percentage of schools not reaching the floor standard | New dashboard added |

2014-15, Key Stage 2 - Percentage of pupils achieving level 4 or above - Reading

England Rank $\quad$ equal 120/152


Key Issues: Leeds performance remains static and Is three percent points below the national figure, two percent points below statistical neighbours and one percent point below core cities.

Data Status:Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release - SFR47/2015
Data Notes:


2014-15, Key Stage 2 - Percentage of pupils achieving level 4 or above - Writing

|  |  |  |  |  |  | England Rank | equal 100/152 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 75 \\ & 70 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | National Quartile | Change from last |
| 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 55 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |  |  |
| --Leeds | 75 | 80 | 82 | 83 | 86 | 3rd quartile |  |
| $\pm$ National | 75 | 81 | 84 | 86 | 87 |  | 3\% point |
| $\bigcirc$ Stat. Neighbours | 76 | 82 | 84 | 85 | 86 |  |  |
| $\rightarrow$ Core Cities | 72 | 80 | 82 | 84 | 85 |  |  |
| * FFT 'Expected' Outcome |  |  | 82 |  | 85 |  |  |
| --FFT 'Aspirational' Outcome |  |  |  | 86 | 88 |  |  |

Key Issues: Performance continues to improve although the overall result is one percent point below the national average and is inline with statistical neighbours.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release - SFR47/2015
Data Notes:

2014-15, Key Stage 2 - Percentage of pupils achieving level 4 or above - Maths

| England Rank | equal 122/152 |
| :--- | :--- |


| National Quartile | Change from last |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| 4th quartile |  |

2014-15, Key Stage 2 - Percentage of pupils achieving level 4 or above - Reading, Writing and Maths


Key Issues: Performance has improved by two percentage points, the overall result is two percentage points below the national and stat neighbour average and one percent point below core cities.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release - SFR47/2015
Data Notes:


2014-15, Key Stage 2 - Percentage of pupils achieving level 4 or above - grammar, punctuation and spelling test


Key Issues: Performance in Leeds has improved by four percentage points and is inline with core cities but is below the national average and core cities by 2 and 1 percent points retrospectively

Data Status: Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release - SFR47/2015
Data Notes: This indicator was intoduced in 2013.

## 2014-15, Key Stage 2 - Percentage of pupils achieving level 5 or above - Reading

| 60 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 |  |  |  |  | - |
| 40 |  | - | 三 |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| --Leeds | 42 | 45 | 43 | 46 | 45 |
| $\simeq$ National | 43 | 48 | 45 | 50 | 49 |
| $\longrightarrow$ Stat. Neighbours | 43 | 47 | 44 | 49 | 48 |
| $\sim$ Core Cities | 39 | 44 | 41 | 45 | 45 |
| * FFT 'Expected' Outcome |  |  | 40 |  | 47 |
| $\longrightarrow-$ FFT 'Aspirational' Outcome |  |  |  | 54 | 54 |



Key Issues: Performance in Leeds has fallen by $1 \%$ point which is inline with core cities, but Leeds is now four percent points below the national figure and three percent points below statistical neighbours

Data Status: Final
DData Source: DfE Statistical First Release - SFR47/2015

2014-15, Key Stage 2 - Percentage of pupils achieving level 5 or above - Writing


| England Rank | equal 119/152 |
| :--- | :--- |


| National Quartile | Change from last |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| 4th quartile |  |

Key Issues: Leeds performance has improved by two percentage points, but remains below the national average by four percent points and is two percent points below statistical neighbours.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release - SFR47/2015
Data Notes:


| National Quartile | Change from last |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $2 \%$ point |
| 4th quartile |  |

Key Issues: Leeds performance has fallen by two percentage points mirroring a national trend and remains below the national and statistical neighbour figure.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release - SFR47/2015
Data Notes:


2014-15, Key Stage 2 - Percentage of pupils achieving level 5 or above - Reading, Writing and Maths

| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $25 \sim$ | $20$ |  |  |  |  |
| $15$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| --Leeds | 13 | 18 | 19 | 22 | 22 |
| - National | 13 | 20 | 21 | 24 | 24 |
| $\longrightarrow$ Stat. Neighbours | 14 | 20 | 21 | 23 | 23 |
| $\simeq$ Core Cities | 12 | 18 | 19 | 21 | 22 |


| England Rank | equal 103/152 |
| :--- | :--- |



Key Issues: Leeds performance remains static. Leeds is two percent points below the national average, and one percent below statistical neighbours, but is inline with core cities.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release - SFR47/2015
Data Notes:

2014-15, Key Stage 2 - Percentage of pupils achieving level 5 or above - grammar, punctuation and spelling test


| England Rank | equal 107/152 |
| :--- | :--- |


| National Quartile | Change from last |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| 3rd quartile |  |
|  |  |

Key Issues: Performance in Leeds continues to improve but remains below the national average (three percent points), statistical neighbours (two percent points) and core cities (one percent point).

Data Status: Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release - SFR
Data Notes: This indicator was introduced in 2013.


2014-15, Key Stage 2 - Percentage of pupils making expected progress between key stage 1 and key stage 2 - Reading

| $\begin{aligned} & 93 \\ & 92 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 92 |  |  |  |
| 91 |  |  |  |  |
| 90 |  |  |  |  |
| $89$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 88 |  |  |  |  |
| 87 |  |  |  |  |
| 86 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| --Leeds | 91 | 91 | 92 | 92 |
| - National | 90 | 88 | 91 | 91 |
| --Stat. Neighbours | 90 | 89 | 91 | 92 |
| $\rightarrow$ Core Cities | 90 | 89 | 90 | 91 |


| England Rank |
| :--- |
| National Quartile |
| Nand 55/152  <br> 2nd quartile Change from last |

Key Issues: Leeds performance remains consistent with 2014 mirroring a national trend. Leeds remains slightly above the national average, core cities by one percent point and is inline with statistical neighbours.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release - SFR47/2015
Data Notes:

2014-15, Key Stage 2 - Percentage of pupils making expected progress between key stage 1 and key stage 2 - Writing

| 96 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 95 |  |  |  |  |
| 94 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 91 |  |  |  |  |
| 90 |  |  |  |  |
| 89 |  |  |  |  |
| 88 |  |  |  |  |
| 87 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| --Leeds | 92 | 94 | 94 | 95 |
| - National | 90 | 92 | 93 | 94 |
| $\cdots-$ Stat. Neighbours | 90 | 93 | 93 | 94 |
| $\rightarrow$ Core Cities | 91 | 93 | 93 | 94 |


| England Rank |
| :--- |
|  |
| National Quartile equal 44/152 <br> 2nd quartile  |

Key Issues: Leeds performance has improved by one percentage point and remains one percentage point above the national average, statistical neighbours and core cities.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release - SFR47/2015
Data Notes:

2014-15, Key Stage 2 - Percentage of pupils making expected progress between key stage 1 and key stage 2 - Maths


Key Issues: Leeds performance remains static mirroring a national trend, and continues to be one percentage point above the national figure by one percent point.

Data Status: final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release - SFR47/2015

2014-15, Key Stage 2 - Percentage of schools not reaching the floor standard*

| 12 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10 \sim$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |
| $6 \longrightarrow$ - |  |  |  |  |
| $4 \xrightarrow{4}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| --Leeds | 11 | 9 | 9 | 8 |
| $\pm$ National | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 |
| $\longrightarrow$ Stat. Neighbours | 9 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| $\rightarrow$ Core Cities | 8 | 7 | 8 | 8 |


| England Rank | equal 26/152 |
| :--- | :--- |


| National Quartile | Change from last year |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 4th quartile |  |

Key Issues: Performance in Leeds has improved by one percentage point, but remains below the national average, statistical neighbours and core cities.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release - SFR47/2015
Data Notes: "A school is below the primary school floor standard if (i) less than 65 per cent of pupils achieve level 4 or above in all of reading, writing and mathematics, (ii) less than the median percentage make expected progress in reading, (iii) less than the median percentage make expected progress in writing, and (iv) less than the median percentage make expected progress in mathematics."

## 2014-15, Key Stage 2 - Learning Outcomes Dashboards - Pupil Groups

| Dashboards | Changes Since Previous <br> Version |
| :--- | :--- |
| Percentage of pupils achieving level 4 or above in Reading, Writing and Maths - FSM | New dashboard added |
| Percentage of pupils achieving level 4 or above in Reading, Writing and Maths - EAL | New dashboard added |
| Percentage of pupils achieving level 4 or above in Reading, Writing and Maths - SEN provision | New dashboard added |
| Percentage of pupils achieving level 4 or above in Reading, Writing and Maths - Ethnicity | New dashboard added |
| Percentage of pupils achieving level 4 or above in Reading, Writing and Maths - Gender | New dashboard added |
| Percentage of pupils achieving level 4 or above in Grammar, punctuation and spelling - FSM | New dashboard added |
| Percentage of pupils achieving level 4 or above in Grammar, punctuation and spelling - EAL | New dashboard added |
| Percentage of pupils achieving level 4 or above in Grammar, punctuation and spelling - SEN | New dashboard added |
| Percentage of pupils achieving level 4 or above in Grammar, punctuation and spelling - Ethnicity | New dashboard added |
| Percentage of pupils achieving level 4 orabove in Grammar, punctuation and spelling - Gender | New dashboard added |



Key Issues: Over half ( 60 per cent) of all children who are eligible for FSM achieved level 4 or above in Reading, Writing and Maths in Leeds compared to 82 per cent of children who aren't eligible. This represents a gap of 22 percentage points compared to the national gap of 17 percentage points. Nationally, 66 per cent of children who are eligible for FSM achieve the benchmark.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR47-2015
Data Notes:


2014-15, Key Stage 2 - Percentage of pupils achieving level 4 or above in Reading, Writing and Maths - English as an additional language (EAL)


| England Rank | Equal 128/147 |
| :--- | :--- |


| National Quartile <br> Position | Change from last |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 4th quartile | $2 \%$ points |

Key Issues: 70 per cent of pupils who speak English as an additional language achieved level 4 or above in Reading, Writing and Maths compared to the national figure of 79 per cent. The gap between Leeds children who have EAL and those that don't is 11 percentage points compared to the national gap of two percentage points.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR47-2015
Data Notes:
child
chicadiy Imend reeds

2014-15, Key Stage 2 - Percentage of pupils achieving level 4 or above in Reading, Writing and Maths - SEN provision



Key Issues: Please note in 2015 this indicator has been revised to report on SEN Support, SEN with a Statement or EHC plan and none SEN, data under the Statement, School Action and School Action Plus category is no longer reported, therefore no historical data has been included. In Leeds 14 per cent of pupils with a statement or EHC plan achieved level 4 or above in reading writing and maths compared with 67 per cent of pupils with no identified SEN, resulting in an attainment gap of 53 percentage points.

## Data Status: Final

Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR47-2015
Data Notes: From 2015, pupils with SEN have been grouped into the following categories
SEN support (This category replaces the former 'School Action' and 'School Action Plus).
Statement/EHC plan - A pupil has a statement of SEN or an EHC plan when a formal assessment has been made.

## 2014-15, Key Stage 2 - Percentage of pupils achieving level 4 or above in Reading, Writing and Maths - Ethnicity



|  | England Rank | National Quartile <br> Position | Change from <br> last year |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| White | Equal 98/150 | 3rd quartile | $2 \%$ points $\uparrow$ |
| Mixed | Equal 102/148 | 3rd quartile | $5 \%$ points $\uparrow$ |
| Asian | Equal 124/140 | 4th quartile | $4 \%$ points $\uparrow$ |
| Black | Equal 98/124 | 3rd quartile | $1 \%$ point $\downarrow$ |
| Chinese | Equal 26/53 | 2nd quartile | $7 \%$ points $\uparrow$ |

Key Issues: In Leeds performance improved across all groups except for black pupils where the percentage achieving reading, writing and maths at level 4 plus fell slightly by one percentage point. Performance across all groups is lower than the corresponding national figure except for Chinese pupils who are above the national figure by two percentage points. In Leeds the percentage of pupils achieving the standard is higher amongst Chinese, White and Mixed pupils compared to Black and Asian pupils.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR47-2015
Data Notes:

2014-15, Key Stage 2 - Percentage of pupils achieving level 4 or above in Reading, Writing and Maths - Gender

England Rank - Boys $\quad$ Equal 102/152

| National Quartile <br> Position | Change from last <br> year |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3rd quartile |  |
|  | $3 \%$ points |


| England Rank - Girls | Equal 130/152 |
| :--- | :--- |


| National Quartile <br> Position | Change from last <br> year |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3rd quartile |  |
|  | \% points |

Key Issues: In Leeds attainment in reading, writing and mathematics combined has improved for both boys (three percentage points) and girls (one percentage point) which mirrors a national trend. Girls in Leeds continue to out perform boys in Leeds creating a gap of four percentage points. Performance in Leeds is below the national average by three percentage points for girls and two percentage points for boys.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR47-2015
Data Notes:


2014-15, Key Stage 2 - Percentage of pupils achieving level 4 or above in Grammar, punctuation and spelling - English as an additional language (EAL)


| England Rank | Equal 123/145 |
| :--- | :--- |


| National Quartile <br> Position | Change from last |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| 4th quartile | $5 \%$ points |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Key Issues:Seventy six per cent of pupils who speak English as an additional language achieved level } 4 \text { or above in Grammar, punctuation and } \\
& \text { spelling compared to the national figure of } 83 \text { per cent. The gap between Leeds children who are EAL and those that are not is four percentage } \\
& \text { points. } \\
& \hline \text { Data Status: Final } \\
& \text { Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR47-2015 } \\
& \text { Data Notes: }
\end{aligned}
$$



2014-15, Key Stage 2 - Percentage of pupils achieving level 4 or above in grammar, punctuation and spelling - Ethnicity

| $\begin{aligned} & 90 \\ & 80 \\ & 70 \\ & 60 \\ & 50 \\ & 40 \\ & 30 \\ & 20 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White | Mixed | Asian | Black | Chinese | All pupils |
| ■ Leeds 2013 | 72 | 68 | 74 | 68 | 88 | 72 |
| -Leeds 2014 | 75 | 75 | 77 | 75 | 91 | 75 |
| ■ Leeds 2015 | 79 | 80 | 81 | 80 | 91 | 79 |
| $\square$ National 2013 | 73 | 76 | 80 | 76 | 88 | 74 |
| - National 2014 | 76 | 79 | 82 | 78 | 89 | 77 |
| ■ National 2015 | 79 | 82 | 87 | 83 | 91 | 81 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ర } \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { © } \\ & \omega \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | England Rank | National Quartile Position | Change from last year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White | Equal 86/150 | 3rd quartile | 4\% points $\uparrow$ |
|  | Mixed | Equal 98/145 | 3rd quartile | $5 \%$ points $\uparrow$ |
|  | Asian | Equal 124/138 | 4th quartile | 4\% points $\uparrow$ |
|  | Black | Equal 81/121 | 3rd quartile | 5\% points $\uparrow$ |
|  | Chinese | Equal 40/55 | 4th quartile | Static |

Key Issues: Performance of Mixed, Asian and Black pupils in Leeds is considerably lower than the corresponding national figure except for white and Chinese pupils who are inline with the corresponding national figure. In Leeds performance has improved across all groups except amongst Chinese Pupils where performance remains static.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR47-2015
Data Notes:



| England Rank - Boys | Equal 86/152 |
| :--- | :--- |


| National Quartile <br> Position | Change from last <br> year |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3rd quartile |  |
|  | $6 \%$ points |

England Rank - Girls $\quad$ Equal 103/152

| National Quartile | Change from last <br> year |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3rd quartile |  |
|  | $3 \%$ points |

Key Issues: Girls in Leeds continue to out perform boys in Leeds in level 4 plus grammar, punctuation and spelling which mirrors a national trend. Performance in Leeds remains below the national average with a gap of two per cent points between Leeds and national girls and a one percentage point difference between Leeds and national boys.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR47-2015
Data Notes:


## 2014-15, Key Stage 4 - Learning Outcomes Dashboards

| Dashboards | Changes Since Previous Version |
| :--- | :--- |
| Percentage of pupils achieving 5 A*-C at GCSE | Dashboard Updated |
| Percentage of pupils achieving 5 A*-C including English and Maths at GCSE | Dashboard Updated |
| Percentage of pupils achieving 5 A*-G at GCSE | Dashboard Updated |
| Percentage of pupils achieving the English Baccalaureate | Dashboard Updated |
| Percentage of no passes at GCSE | Dashboard Updated |
| Percentage of pupils making expected progress between Key Stage 2 and 4 in English | Dashboard Updated |
| Percentage of pupils making expected progress between Key Stage 2 and 4 in Maths | Dashboard Updated |
| Capped Average Point Score Per Pupil | Dashboard Updated |
| Percentage of schools not reaching the floor standard | Dashboard Updated |

2014-15, Key Stage 4 - Percentage of pupils achieving 5 A $^{*}$-C at GCSE

| England Rank | $112 / 151$ |
| :--- | :--- |


| National Quartile <br> Position | Change from last <br> year |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3rd Quartile |  |
|  | $2 \%$ points |




Key Issues: Performance in Leeds had improved by two percentage points and the gap between Leeds and the national is now four percentage points. Leeds' performance is behind the statistical neighbour average by three percentage points but ahead of core cities by two percentage points.

Data Status: Revised
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR 012016
Data Notes: It is not possible to compare 2013/14 results with previous years. It is also important to note the statistical neighbour list for Leeds was revised in October 2014 so comparisons need to be made with caution.

2014-15, Key Stage 4 - Percentage of pupils achieving 5 A $^{*}$-C including English and Maths at GCSE


| England Rank | $97 / 151$ |
| :--- | :--- |


| National Quartile | Change from last |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3rd Quartile |  |
|  |  |

Key Issues: Leeds had improved by five percentage points bringing performance more in line with the national average. Leeds performance is now in line with statistical neighbours and ahead of the core cities' average by four percentage points.

## Data Status: Revised

Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR 012016
Data Notes: It is not possible to compare 2013/14 results with previous years. It is also important to note the statistical neighbour list for Leeds was revised in October 2014 so comparisons need to be made with caution.

2014-15, Key Stage 4 - Percentage of pupils achieving 5 A*-G at GCSE


| England Rank | Equal 143/151 |
| :--- | :--- |



Key Issues: Performance in Leeds has improved by two percentage points where as national performance has remained static. Performance is in line with core cities but behind that of statistical neighbours by two percentage points.

## Data Status: Revised

Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR 012016
Data Notes: It is not possible to compare 2013/14 results with previous years. It is also important to note the statistical neighbour list for Leeds was revised in October 2014 so comparisons need to be made with caution.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| --Leeds | 13 | 14 | 19 | 22 | 24 |
| - National | 15 | 16 | 23 | 24 | 24 |
| $\bigcirc-$ Stat. Neighbour | 12 | 13 | 20 | 23 | 23 |
| $\rightarrow$ Core Cities | 12 | 13 | 19 | 22 | 22 |


| National Quartile <br> Position | Change from last <br> year |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2nd Quartile |  |
|  |  |

Key Issues: Performance in Leeds has improved by two percentage points and is now in line with national average and ahead of statistical neighbour and core cities performance by one and two percentage points respectively.

Data Status: Revised
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR 012016
Data Notes: The EBacc, which was introduced in 2010, covers achievement in GCSE (or accredited iGCSE) English, mathematics, sciences, a language (including Latin, classical Greek or ancient Hebrew) and a humanities subject. It is not possible to compare 2013/14 results with previous years. It is also important to note the statistical neighbour list for Leeds was revised in October 2014 so comparisons need to be made with caution.


2014-15, Key Stage 4 - Percentage of no passes at GCSE


| England Rank | Equal 143/149 |
| :--- | :--- |


| National Quartile <br> Position | Change from last <br> year |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4th Quartile | $0.9 \%$ point |


| 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| --Leeds | 1.4 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 2.6 |
| $\pm$ National | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 1.9 |
| $\bigcirc$ Stat. Neighbour | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| $\simeq$ Core Cities | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 |

Key Issues: The percentage of Leeds pupils having no passes at GCSE has increased by 0.9 percentage points to 2.6 percentage points. National performance has fallen slightly to 1.9 percentage points.

Data Status: Revised
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR 012016
Data Notes: It is not possible to compare 2013/14 results with previous years. It is also important to note the statistical neighbour list for Leeds was revised in October 2014 so comparisons need to be made with caution.

## 2014-15 - Percentage of pupils making expected progress between Key Stage 2 and 4 in English



Key Issues: Expected progress in English has improved by five percentage points and is now behind the national average by two percentage points. Leeds' performance is in line with statistical neighbours and ahead of the core cities average by two percentage points.

Data Status: Revised
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR 012016
Data Notes: It is not possible to compare 2013/14 results with previous years. It is also important to note the statistical neighbour list for Leeds was revised in October 2014 so comparisons need to be made with caution.

2014-15 - Percentage of pupils making expected progress between Key Stage 2 and 4 in Maths


| England Rank | $95 / 152$ |
| :--- | :--- |



Key Issues: Performance in Leeds has improved by three percentage points and is ahead of the statistical neighbour and core cities figure. However, when compared to the national average, Leeds is behind by two percentage points.

Data Status: Revised
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR 012016
Data Notes: It is not possible to compare 2013/14 results with previous years. It is also important to note the statistical neighbour list for Leeds was revised in October 2014 so comparisons need to be made with caution.


2014-15 - Key Stage 4 Capped Average* Point Score Per Pupil


Key Issues: Performance in Leeds has improved by 5.4 points. However, the Leeds' figure is below that of the national and statistical neighbour average.

Data Status: Revised
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR 012016
Data Notes: *Average capped point scores are calculated using the best 8 GCSE and equivalent results. It is not possible to compare 2013/14 results with previous years. It is also important to note the statistical neighbour list for Leeds was revised in October 2014 so comparisons need to be made with caution.


2014-15, Key Stage 4 - Percentage of schools not reaching the floor standard*


Key Issues: Performance had improved in Leeds by four percentage points since 2014 with 18 per cent of Leeds' schools not reaching the floor standard compared to 22 per cent the previous year. Leeds' performance is behind that of the national and statistical neighbour average by six percentage points but ahead of the core cities average by three percentage points.

## Data Status: Revised

Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR 012016.
Data Notes: * A school is below the $5+A^{*}-C$ and expected progress floor standard if less than $40 \%$ of pupils achieve $5+A^{*}-C$ including English and mathematics and the expected progress between key stage 2 and key stage 4 is less than the median of $73 \%$ in English and less than the median of $68 \%$ in mathematics. The figures do not include schools that have opted in to the new key stage 4 accountability system and floor standards. Please note it is not possible to compare 2013/14 results with
child
friendiy previous years.

## 2013-14, Key Stage 4 - Learning Outcomes Dashboards - Pupil Groups

| Dashboards | Changes Since Previous <br> Version |
| :--- | :--- |
| Percentage of pupils achieving 5 A*-C at GCSE including English and Maths - FSM | New dashboard added |
| Percentage of pupils achieving 5 A*-C at GCSE including English and Maths - EAL | New dashboard added |
| Percentage of pupils achieving 5 A*-C at GCSE including English and Maths - SEN provision | New dashboard added |
| Percentage of pupils achieving 5 A*-C at GCSE including English and Maths - Ethnicity | New dashboard added |
| Percentage of pupils achieving 5 A*-C at GCSE including English and Maths - Gender | New dashboard added |
| Percentage of pupils achieving 5 A*-G at GCSE - FSM | New dashboard added |
| Percentage of pupils achieving 5 A*-G at GCSE - EAL | New dashboard added |
| Percentage of pupils achieving 5 A*-G at GCSE - SEN provision | New dashboard added |
| Percentage of pupils achieving 5 A*-G at GCSE - Ethnicity | New dashboard added |
| Percentage of pupils achieving 5 A*-G at GCSE - Gender | New dashboard added |



Key Issues: Performance of pupils who are FSM eligible has remained static in 2015 with 26 per cent of pupils achieving $5 \mathrm{~A}^{*}-\mathrm{C}$ including English and maths. When compared to national performance, Leeds FSM is behind by seven percentage points (a decrease of one percentage point since 2014). The gap in performance between Leeds FSM and non FSM has increased by five percentage points to 36 per cent.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR 012016
Data Notes: 2013/14 results are not comparable with previous years.



| England Rank | $123 / 146$ |
| :--- | :--- |


| National Quartile | Change from last <br> Position |
| :---: | :---: |
| year |  |
| 4th quartile | $6 \%$ points |

4th quartile

Key Issues: Performance of pupils who are EAL has increased by six percentage points, narrowing the gap with the national figure to nine percentage points. The gap in performance between EAL pupils and non EAL pupils in Leeds is nine percentage points; a decrease of one percentage point since 2014.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR 012016
Data Notes: 2013/14 results are not comparable with previous years.
child
friendly teeds

## 2013-14, Key Stage 4 - Percentage of pupils achieving $5 A^{*}-C$ at GCSE including English and Maths - SEN provision



|  | England Rank | National Quartile <br> Position | Change from <br> last year |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Non SEN | $89 / 149$ | 3rd quartile | Not applicable |
| SEN Support | $92 / 134$ | 3rd quartile | Not applicable |
| SEN with a Statement or EHC Plan | Equal $128 / 143$ | 4th quartile | Not applicable |

Key Issues: Pupils who are identified as having SEN in Leeds do not perform as well as SEN pupils nationally. 20 per cent of pupils who are categorised as SEN Support achieve the benchmark compared to 24 per cent nationally. This gap is increased by one percentage point when looking at pupils who are SEN with a Statement or EHC Plan.
vata status. Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR 012016
Data Notes: 2013/14 results are not comparable with previous years.

## 2013-14, Key Stage 4 - Percentage of pupils achieving 5 A*-C at GCSE including English and Maths - Ethnicity



|  | England Rank | National Quartile Position | Change from last year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White | 70/151 | 2nd quartile | $5 \%$ point $\uparrow$ |
| Mixed | 127/146 | 4th quartile | $4 \%$ point $\uparrow$ |
| Asian | 128/142 | 4th quartile | 6\% point $\uparrow$ |
| Black | 93/127 | 3rd quartile | 8\% point $\uparrow$ |
| Chinese | 25/71 | 2nd quartile | 8\% point $\uparrow$ |

Key Issues: Performance has improved across all ethnic groups, with the biggest improvement of eight percentage points seen amongst the Black and Chinese groups.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR 012016
Data Notes: 2013/14 results are not comparable with previous years. For a more detailed breakdown of ethnicity groups please look at the report functions on RaiseOnline LA populated.


2013-14, Key Stage 4 - Percentage of pupils achieving 5 A*-C at GCSE including English and Maths - Gender


Key Issues: Performance has improved for boys by three percentage points in 2015, narrowing the gap to the national figure to three percentage points. Performance of Leeds' girls has followed the same trajectory and improved by five percentage points, narrowing the gap to the national figure to one percentage point.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR 012015
Data Notes: 2013/14 results are not comparable with previous years.



| England Rank | $138 / 143$ |
| :--- | :--- |


| National Quartile <br> Position | Change from last <br> year |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4th quartile | $3 \%$ points |

Key Issues: Performance of pupils who are FSM eligible has improved by three percentage points, however performance remains behind the national average by seven percentage points. The gap in performance between pupils who are FSM eligible in Leeds and those who aren't is 17 percentage points.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR 012016
Data Notes: 2013/14 results are not comparable with previous years.


2013-14, Key Stage 4 - Percentage of pupils achieving 5 A*$^{*}$-G at GCSE - English as an additional language (EAL)


| England Rank | $109 / 119$ |
| :--- | :--- |


| National Quartile <br> Position | Change from last <br> year |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4th quartile | $3 \%$ points |

Key Issues: Performance of pupils who are EAL has increased by three percentage points, narrowing the gap to the national figure to three percentage points.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR 012016
Data Notes: 2013/14 results are not comparable with previous years.



|  | England Rank | National Quartile <br> Position | Change from <br> last year |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Non SEN | Equal $136 / 149$ | 4th quartile | Not applicable |
| SEN Support | $146 / 147$ | 4th quartile | Not applicable |
| SEN with a Statement or EHC Plan | $125 / 148$ | 4th quartile | Not applicable |

Key Issues: Pupils who are identified as having SEN in Leeds do not perform as well as SEN pupils nationally. 74 per cent of pupils who are identified as SEN Support achieve the benchmark compared to 86 per cent nationally and 32 per cent of pupils who are categorised as SEN with a Statement or EHC Plan compared to 43 per cent nationally.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR 012016
Data Notes: 2013/14 results are not comparable with previous years.



|  | England Rank | National Quartile <br> Position | Change from <br> last year |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| White | $135 / 151$ | 4th quartile | $2 \uparrow$ |
| Mixed | Equal $114 / 126$ | 4th quartile | $3 \uparrow$ |
| Asian | Equal $73 / 114$ | 3rd quartile | $4 \uparrow$ |
| Black | Equal $70 / 98$ | 3rd quartile | $1 \uparrow$ |
| Chinese | Equal $1 / 85$ | 1st quartile | unable to calculate due to suppression |

Key Issues: Performance has improved across all ethnic groups with the biggest improvement of four percentage points amongst the Asian group.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR 012016
Data Notes: 2013/14 results are not comparable with previous years. Please note 2013 and 2014 data for Chinese pupils is suppressed.


## England Rank Boys <br> Equal 130/152

| National Quartile <br> Position | Change from last <br> year |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4th quartile | $1 \%$ points |

England Rank Girls $\quad$ Equal 140/151

| National Quartile <br> Position | Change from last <br> year |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4th quartile | $2 \%$ points |

Key Issues: Performance has improved for boys by one percentage point in 2015, however the gap remains three percentage points when compared to the national. Performance of Leeds' girls has improved by two percentage points, narrowing the gap to the national figure to two percentage points.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR 012016
Data Notes: 2013/14 results are not comparable with previous years


## 2014-15, Key Stage 5 - Learning Outcomes Dashboards

Data used is for GCE A level and Level 3 results of all state-funded students aged 16 to 19 Covers all state-funded mainstream schools, academies, free schools, maintained special schools and FE sector colleges.

| Dashboards | Changes Since Previous <br> Version |
| :--- | :--- |
| Average points per student at A level | Dashboard updated |
| Average points per entry at A level | Dashboard updated |
| Percentage achieving two or more passes at A Level | Dashboard updated |
| Percentage achieving three or more A*-A passes at A Level | Dashboard updated |
| Percentage of students achieving grades AAB or better at GCE A level in facilitating subjects | Dashboard updated |

## 2014-15, Key Stage 5 - Average points per entry at A level

| 214 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 212 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 210 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 208 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 206 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 204 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 202 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| --Leeds | 209 | 207 | 211 | 208 | 206 |
| $\pm$ National | 213 | 209 | 211 | 212 | 213 |
| $\cdots$ Stat. Neighbour | 209 | 207 | 209 | 209 | 212 |
| $\simeq$ Core Cities | 211 | 207 | 208 | 208 | 209 |


| England Rank | equal 133/150 |
| :--- | :--- |


| National Quartile <br> Position | Change from last <br> year <br> $2 x \mathrm{pts}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| 4th Quartile |  |

Key Issues: The APS per A level entry in Leeds has fallen by two percentage points in 2015 and is now below all comparators.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: Statistical First Release:SFR SFR03/2016
Data Notes: Data used is for GCE A level and Level 3 results of all state-funded students aged 16 to 19 Covers all state-funded mainstream schools, academies, free schools, maintained special schools and FE sector colleges.
Please note the statistical neighbour list for Leeds was revised in October 2014, therefore any comparisons should be made with

2014-15, Key Stage 5 - Average points per student at A level

| 760 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 720 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $700 \sim$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 680 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 660 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 640 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 620 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| --Leeds | 710 | 722 | 738 | 682 | 651 |
| $\simeq$ National | 728 | 714 | 706 | 696 | 701 |
| $\longrightarrow$ Stat. Neighbour | 735 | 718 | 726 | 694 | 701 |
| $\simeq$ Core Cities | 714 | 700 | 683 | 662 | 677 |


| England Rank | $131 / 150$ |
| :--- | :--- |


| National Quartile <br> Position | Change from last <br> vear <br> 31 pts |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4tth Quartile |  |

Key Issues: The APS per student in Leeds has fallen by 31 points in 2015 and is now below all comparators.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: Statistical First Release: SFR SFR03/2016
Data Notes: Data used is for GCE A level and Level 3 results of all state-funded students aged 16 to 19. Covers all state-funded mainstream schools, academies, free schools, maintained special schools and FE sector colleges.
Please note the statistical neighbour list for Leeds was revised in October 2014, therefore any comparisons should be made with caution.

| 9896 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 㫛 |  |  |  |  |
|  | [込 |  |  |  |  |
| 94 | $\longrightarrow \sim$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{l\|l} 92 \\ 90 & \square \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 88 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 86 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 84 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 82 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --Leeds | 95 | 97 | 97 | 92 | 90 |
| - National | 94 | 93 | 92 | 90 | 91 |
| $\cdots$ Stat. Neighbour | 93 | 94 | 93 | 90 | 92 |
| $\because$ Core Cities | 94 | 94 | 92 | 88 | 90 |


| England Rank | Equal 93/150 |
| :--- | :--- |

National Quartile
Position
Change from last vear 2\% points

3rd quartile

Key Issues: Performance in Leeds has fallen by two percentage points in 2015 and is now one percentage point below the national figure and two percentage points below statistical neighbours but is inline with core cities.

## Data Status: Final

Data Source: Statistical First Release:SFR03/2016
Data Notes: Data used is for GCE A level and Level 3 results of all state-funded students aged 16 to 19. Covers all state-funded mainstream schools, academies, free schools, maintained special schools and FE sector colleges.
Please note the statistical neighbour list for Leeds was revised in October 2014, therefore any comparisons should be made with caution.


2014-15, Key Stage 5 - Percentage achieving three or more A*-A passes at A Level


| England Rank | equal 102/148 |
| :--- | :--- |


| National Quartile <br> Position | Change from last <br> vear <br> $2 \%$ points |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3rd quartile |  |

Key Issues: Performance in Leeds has fallen by two percentage points in 2015 and is now below all comparators. Leeds is now three percentage points behind the national average, two percentage points behind statistical neighbours and core cities. All comparator performance has remained static.

## Data Status: Final

Data Source: Statistical First Release:SFR03/2016
Data Notes: Data used is for GCE A level and Level 3 results of all state-funded students aged 16 to 19. Covers all state-funded mainstream schools, academies, free schools, maintained special schools and FE sector colleges.

2014-15, Percentage of students achieving grades AAB or better at GCE A level in facilitating subjects*


| England Rank | equal 90/148 |
| :--- | :--- |


| National Quartile <br> Position | Change from last <br> year <br> $1 \%$ points |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3rd quartile |  |

Key Issues: Performance in Leeds has fallen by one percentage point mirroring the national performance, however Leeds remains below all comparators.

Data Status:Final
Data Source: Statistical First Release: SFR03/2016
Data Notes: * facillitating subjects -biology, chemistry, physics, mathematics, further mathematics, geography, history, English literature, modern and classical languages. Data used is for GCE A level and Level 3 results of all state-funded students aged 16 to 19
Covers all state-funded mainstream schools, academies, free schools, maintained special schools and FE sector colleges.

Please note these dashboards include data used is for GCE A level and Level 3 results of all state-funded students aged 16 to 19 covers all state-funded mainstream schools, academies, free schools and maintained special schools.

| Dashboards | Changes Since Previous <br> Version |
| :--- | :--- |
| Average points per student at A level | Dashboard Updated |
| Average points per entry at A level | Quartile position updated |
| Percentage achieving two or more passes at A Level | Dashboard Updated |
| Percentage achieving three or more A*-A passes at A Level | Dashboard Updated |
| Percentage of students achieving grades AAB or better at GCE A level in facilitating subjects | Dashboard Updated |

## 2014-15, Key Stage 5 - Average points per entry at A level



| England Rank | $132 / 149$ |
| :--- | ---: |


| National Quartile <br> Position | Change from last <br> year |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 pt. |
| 4th Quartile |  |

Key Issues: Performance in Leeds has fallen by 1 point in 2015 and remains below all comparators. Leeds is now eight percentage points below the national figure and statistical neighbours.

## Data Status: Final

Data Source: Statistical First Release: SFR03/2016

Data Notes: Data used is for GCE A level and Level 3 results of all state-funded students aged 16 to 19 Covers all state-funded mainstream schools, academies, free schools and maintained special schools.
Please note the statistical neighbour list for Leeds was revised in October 2014, therefore any comparisons should be made with caution.



Key Issues: Performance in Leeds has fallen by 11 points in 2015 and is now below the national, statistical neighbour and core cities average.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: Statistical First Release: SFR03/2016
Data Notes: Data used is for GCE A level and Level 3 results of all state-funded students aged 16 to 19 Covers all state-funded mainstream schools, academies, free schools and maintained special schools.
Please note the statistical neighbour list for Leeds was revised in October 2014, therefore any comparisons should be made with caution.

2014-15 Key Stage 5 - Percentage achieving two or more passes at A Level

| 99 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 98 |  |  |  |  |
| 97 |  |  |  |  |
| 96 |  |  |  |  |
| 95 |  |  |  |  |
| 94 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| - Leeds | 96 | 97 | 97 | 98 |
| $\square$ National | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 |
| - Stat. Neighbour | 98 | 99 | 97 | 98 |
| $\cdots$ Core Cities | 96 | 97 | 97 | 98 |

England Rank

| England Rank | $113 / 149$ |
| :--- | :--- |

National Quartile
National Quartile
Position
Change from last year

## Position

4th Quartile $1 \%$ point


1\% point

Key Issues: Performance in Leeds has improved by one percentage point putting Leeds inline with the national average, statistical neighbours and core cities.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: Statistical First Release: SFR03/2016
Data Notes: Data used is for GCE A level and Level 3 results of all state-funded students aged 16 to 19 Covers all state-funded mainstream schools, academies, free schools and maintained special schools.
Please note the statistical neighbour list for Leeds was revised in October 2014, therefore any comparisons should be made with caution.


2014-15, Key Stage 5 - Percentage achieving three or more A*-A passes at A Level



Key Issues: Performance in Leeds has fallen by two percentage points in 2015, putting Leeds four percentage points behind the national average and three percentage points below the statistical neighbours and core cities.

## Data Status: Fina

Data Source: Statistical First Release: SFR03/2016
Data Notes: Data used is for GCE A level and Level 3 results of all state-funded students aged 16 to 19 Covers all state-funded mainstream schools, academies, free schools and maintained special schools.
Please note the statistical neighbour list for Leeds was revised in October 2014, therefore any comparisons should be made with caution.

2014-15, Percentage of students achieving grades AAB or better at GCE A level in facilitating subjects*


| England Rank | $92 / 142$ |
| :--- | :--- |


| National Quartile <br> Position | Change from last <br> year <br> $1 \%$ point |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3rd Quartile |  |

Key Issues: Performance in Leeds has fallen by one percentage point in 2015 and is now below all comparators.

Data Status: Final
Data Source:Statistical First Release: SFR03/2016
Data Notes: * facillitating subjects -biology, chemistry, physics, mathematics, further mathematics, geography, history, English literature, modern and classical languages. Data used is for GCE A level and Level 3 results of all state-funded students aged 16 to 19. Data Notes: Data used is for GCE A level and Level 3 results of all state-funded students aged 16 to 19 Covers all state-funded mainstream schools, academies, free schools and maintained special schools.

## 2014-15, Primary Attendance - Learning Outcomes Dashboards, half terms 1-4

| Dashboards | Changes Since Previous <br> Version |
| :--- | :--- |
| Percentage attendance in primary schools | New dashboard added |
| Percentage authorised absence in primary schools | New dashboard added |
| Percentage unauthorised absence in primary schools | New dashboard added |
| Percentage persistent absentees in primary schools | New dashboard added |

## 2014-15, Percentage attendance in primary schools - half terms 1-4



Key Issues: Attendance in Leeds primary schools has marginally decreased by 0.1 percentage point in 2014/15, despite this, Leeds primary school age pupils have a higher rate of attendance than our comparators.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR 392015
Data Notes: Half terms 1-4. Please note the statistical neighbour list for Leeds was revised in October 2014, therefore any comparisons should be made with caution.



Key Issues: Authorised absence increased by 0.2 percentage points in 2014/15. This trend is mirrored nationally, by statistical neighbours and core cities. Leeds continues to have a higher rate of authorised absence than our comparators.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR 39-2015
Data Notes: Half terms 1-4. Please note the statistical neighbour list for Leeds was revised in October 2014, therefore any comparisons should be made with caution.


| Dashboard | Changes Since Previous <br> Version |
| :--- | :---: |
| Percentage attendance in primary schools - Free School Meals (FSM) | New dashboard added |
| Percentage attendance in primary schools - EAL | New dashboard added |
| Percentage attendance in primary schools - SEN | New dashboard added |
| Percentage attendance in primary schools - Ethnicity | New dashboard added |
| Percentage attendance in primary schools - Gender | New dashboard added |
| Percentage attendance in primary schools - CLA | New dashboard added |
| Percentage attendance in primary schools - Year Group | New dashboard added |





Key Issues: Unauthorised absence has increased by 0.1 percentage point in Leeds, mirroring the increase and trend of our statistical neighbours. Unauthorised absence for Leeds primary school children remains higher than the national average with a gap of 0.2 percentage point.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR 392015
Data Notes: Half terms 1-4. Please note the statistical neighbour list for Leeds was revised in October 2014, therefore any comparisons should be made with caution.


## 2014-15, Percentage persistent absentees in primary schools - half terms 1-4



| National <br> Quartile | Change from <br> last year |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3rd Quartile | $0.4 \%$ points |

Key Issues: The percentage of pupils that met this threshold in 2014/15 in Leeds primary schools was three per cent. This sees an increase of 0.4 percentage point since 2013/14. Levels of persistent absence in primary schools in Leeds remained lower than levels of persistent absence in core cities, but higher than the national and statistical neighbours.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR 39-2015
Data Notes: Persistent Absentees are defined as having an overall absence rate of around 15 per cent or more. This equates to 46 or more sessions of absence (authorised and unauthorised) during the year.
Half terms 1-4. Please note the statistical neighbour list for Leeds was revised in October 2014, therefore any comparisons should be made with caution.

2014-15, Percentage attendance in primary schools, half terms 1-4 - Free School Meals (FSM)


Change from last year
$0.4 \%$ points

Key Issues: Attendance for pupils on free school meals has decreased by 0.4 per cent points in Leeds and nationally there has been an improvement of 1.3 per cent points. In 2015 Leeds is inline with the national average. The gap between pupils on FSM and pupils that are not eligible for FSM is 2.5 per cent in Leeds and 2.1 per cent nationally.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: Leeds data - local; national - DfE Statistical First Release SFR39/2015
Data Notes: As local data has been used there is no ranking or national quartile analysis for this dashboard.

2014-15, Percentage attendance in primary schools, half terms 1-4- English as an additional language (EAL)



| $\square$ Leeds EAL | 94.3 | 2014 | 95.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ National EAL | 94.9 | 96.0 | 95.7 |
| $\square$ Leeds Non EAL | 95.5 | 96.5 | 95.8 |
| $\square$ National Non EAL | 95.2 | 96.9 | 96.3 |
| $\square$ Leeds All | 95.3 | 96.3 | 96.0 |
| $\square$ National All | 95.2 | 96.7 | 96.2 |

Key Issues: Attendance for pupils who have EAL remains consistent with 2014 in Leeds and is slightly below the national average by 0.1 per cent points. The gap between pupils who are categorised as EAL and those that are not is 0.6 per cent points in Leeds and 0.2 per cent points nationally.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: Leeds data - local; national - DfE Statistical First Release SFR39/2015
Data Notes: As local data has been used there is no ranking or national quartile analysis for this dashboard.

2014-15, Percentage attendance in primary schools, half terms 1-4 - SEN


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ర } \\ & \text { © } \\ & \text { © } \\ & \text { } \end{aligned}$ |  | Change from last year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non SEN | N/A this is the first year data is being collected under these categories. |
|  | SEN Support |  |
|  | Statements of SEN/ or EHC plan |  |

Please note from 2015 this indicator has been revised to report on SEN Support, SEN with a Statement or EHC plan and none SEN, therefore no historical data has been included in this dashboard. Leeds is above the national average across all groups.

## Data Status: Final

Data Source: Leeds data - local; national - DfE Statistical First Release SFR39/2015
Data Notes: As local data has been used there is no ranking or national quartile analysis for this dashboard. From 2015, pupils with SEN have been grouped into the following categories SEN support (This category replaces the former 'School Action' and 'School Action Plus).Statement/EHC plan - A pupil has a statement of SEN or an EHC plan when a formal assessment has been made. This change is due to SEND reforms which came into effect in 2014.

2014-15, Percentage authorised absence in primary schools, half terms 1-4 - Ethnicity


2014-15 Percentage attendance in primary schools, half terms 1-4 - Gender


| Boys | Girls |
| :--- | :--- |



Key Issues: In Leeds attendance for girls has fallen by 0.1 per cent points and 0.2 percent points for boys. This mirrors a national trend where performance for girls has fallen by 0.9 per cent points and 0.7 per cent points for boys. Attendance for Leeds girls and boys is above the national average in 2015.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: Leeds data - local; national - DfE Statistical First Release SFR39/2015
Data Notes: As local data has been used there is no ranking or national quartile analysis for this dashboard.


Key Issues: Attendance for CLA has improved by 0.6 per cent points and remains above the Leeds all figure of 96.2 per cent in 2015.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: Leeds all data - local mainstream schools only
Data Notes: There is no national breakdown of primary and secondary attendance for CLA and as local data has been used there is no ranking or national quartile analysis for this dashboard.

2014-15 Percentage attendance in primary schools, half terms 1-4 - year group


| Change from last year |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Year 1 | $0.3 \%$ point $\downarrow$ |
| Year 2 | $0.1 \%$ point $\downarrow$ |
| Year 3 | $0.3 \%$ point $\downarrow$ |
| Year 4 | $0.2 \%$ point $\downarrow$ |
| Year 5 | $0.2 \%$ point $\downarrow$ |
| Year 6 | $0.2 \%$ point $\downarrow$ |

Key Issues: Attendance has fallen across all year groups, with the biggest decrease in years 1 and 3 ( 0.3 percentage points). This downward trend has been mirrored nationally where attendance has fallen across all year groups with the largest decrease in years 1 ( 0.5 per cent points) 5, and 6 ( 0.4 per cent points). In 2015 Leeds is slightly above the national average across all year groups accept year 3 which is inline with the national figure.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: Leeds data - local; national - DfE Statistical First Release SFR39/2015
Data Notes: As local data has been used there is no ranking or national quartile analysis for this dashboard.


| Dashboard | Changes Since Previous <br> Version |
| :--- | :--- |
| Percentage attendance in secondary schools | New dashboard added |
| Percentage authorised absence in secondary schools | New dashboard added |
| Percentage unauthorised absence in secondary schools | New dashboard added |
| Percentage persistent absentees in secondary schools | New dashboard added |

2014-15, Percentage attendance in secondary schools, half terms 1-4

| $95.5$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 94.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 94.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 93.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 93.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 92.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 92.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 91.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 91.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --Leeds | 92.39 | 93.8 | 93.7 | 94.7 | 94.5 |
| $\simeq$ National | 93.48 | 94.3 | 94.2 | 94.9 | 94.8 |
| $\rightarrow$ Stat. Neighbour | 93.418 | 94.31 | 94.1 | 95 | 94.8 |
| $\rightarrow$ Core Cities | 92.42 | 93.6 | 93.6 | 94.6 | 94.5 |

England Rank $\quad$ equal 113/151


Key Issues: Attendance has marginally decreased in Leeds secondary schools in 2014/15 by 0.2 percentage point, mirroring the trend in performance of our comparators. Attendance in Leeds remain lower than the national average and that of statistical neighbours but in line with the performance of core cities.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR 392015
Data Notes: Half terms 1-4. Please note the statistical neighbour list for Leeds was revised in October 2014, therefore any comparisons should be made with caution.

2014-15, Percentage authorised absence in secondary schools, half terms 1-4


England Rank
Equal 38/151


Key Issues: There was an increase of 0.2 percentage point in authorised absence in Leeds in 2014/15, but despite this increase, performance in Leeds is better than the national, statistical neighbour and core city average.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR 392015
Data Notes: Half terms 1-4. Please note the statistical neighbour list for Leeds was revised in October 2014, therefore any comparisons should be made with caution.

2014-15, Percentage unauthorised absence in secondary schools, half terms 1-4


England Rank $\quad$ equal 137/151

| National <br> Quartile | Change from <br> last year |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4th Quartile | $0.1 \%$ point |

Key Issues: There was a 0.1 percentage point increase in unauthorised absence in Leeds in 2014/15. Unauthorised absence remained static nationally and for statistical neighbours, but fell by 0.1 percentage points for core cities. Unauthorised absence remains higher in Leeds.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release SFR 39-2015
Data Notes: Half terms 1-4. Please note the statistical neighbour list for Leeds was revised in October 2014, therefore any comparisons should be made with caution.




| National <br> Quartile | Change from <br> last year |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4th Quartile |  |

Key Issues: 7.1 per cent of pupils in Leeds secondary schools missed more than 15 per cent of school in 2014/15, which is 0.6 percentage points higher than in 2013/14. Persistent absence fell nationally, in statistical neighbours authorities and core cities. Levels of persistent absence remain higher in Leeds.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: DfE Statistical First Release 392015
Data Notes: Persistent Absentees are defined as having an overall absence rate of around 15 per cent or more. This equates to 41 child or more sessions of absence (authorised and unauthorised) during the year.
Half terms 1-4

| Dashboard | Changes Since Previous <br> Version |
| :--- | :---: |
| Percentage attendance in secondary schools - Free School Meals (FSM) | New dashboard added |
| Percentage attendance in secondary schools - EAL | New dashboard added |
| Percentage attendance in secondary schools - SEN | New dashboard added |
| Percentage attendance in secondary schools - Ethnicity | New dashboard added |
| Percentage attendance in secondary schools - Gender | New dashboard added |
| Percentage attendance in secondary schools - CLA | New dashboard added |
| Percentage attendance in secondary schools - Year Group | New dashboard added |

2014-15, Percentage attendance in secondary schools, half terms 1-4 - Free School Meals (FSM)


Change from last year
0.4\% point

Key Issues: Attendance of pupils on free school meals has decreased by 0.4 per cent points in Leeds, nationally attendance for pupils on FSM has increased by 5.5 per cent points to 91.7 per cent putting Leeds below the national average by 1.2 per cent points. The gap between pupils eligible for FSM and those that are not is 5 per cent points in Leeds and 3.6 per cent points nationally.

## Data Status: Final

Data Source: Leeds data - local; national - DfE Statistical First Release SFR39/2015
Data Notes: As local data has been used there is no ranking or national quartile analysis for this dashboard.

2014-15, Percentage attendance in secondary schools, half terms 1-4- English as an additional language (EAL)


Change from last
year
0.1\% point

Key Issues: In Leeds attendance for pupils who have EAL has decreased slightly by 0.1 per cent points since 2015 and remains below the national average by 0.5 per cent points. The attendance of non EAL pupils has also decreased in Leeds by 0.3 per cent points.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: Leeds data - local; national - DfE Statistical First Release SFR39/2015
Data Notes: As local data has been used there is no ranking or national quartile analysis for this dashboard.


|  | Change from <br> last year |
| :--- | :---: |
| Non SEN | N/A this is the first year <br> data is being collected <br> under these categories. |
| SEN Support |  |
| EHC plan |  |

Please note from 2015 this indicator has been revised to report on SEN Support, SEN with a Statement or EHC plan and none SEN, therefore no historical data has been included in this dashboard. Leeds is below the national average across all groups in this measure.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: Leeds data - local; national - DfE Statistical First Release SFR39/2015
Data Notes: As local data has been used there is no ranking or national quartile analysis for this dashboard. From 2015, pupils with SEN have $k$ following categories SEN support (This category replaces the former 'School Action' and 'School Action Plus). Statement/EHC plan - A pupil has or an EHC plan when a formal assessment has been made. This change is due to SEND reforms which came into effect in 2014.



|  | Change from last year |
| :---: | :---: |
| White | 0.3\% point $\downarrow$ |
| Mixed | 0.5\% point $\downarrow$ |
| Asian | 0.1\% point $\uparrow$ |
| Black | 0.1\% point $\downarrow$ |
| Chinese | 0.1\% point $\downarrow$ |

Key Issues: Chinese and black pupils have the highest attendance rates ( $98.3 \%$ and $97.1 \%$. Performance has fallen across all groups in 2015 accept Asian pupils where attendance has improved slightly. Leeds is above the national average in the following groups: black and Chinese.
Data Status: Final
Data Source: Leeds data - local; national - DfE Statistical First Release SFR39/2015
Data Notes: As local data has been used there is no ranking or national quartile analysis for this dashboard.
child
(1) fitient Freis

2014-15 Percentage attendance in secondary schools, half terms 1-4-Gender


| Boys | Girls |
| :--- | :--- |


| Change from last <br> year | Change from last <br> year |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0.4 \%$ point | $0.2 \%$ point |

Key Issues: Leeds boys have a higher attendance rate than girls by 0.1 percentage point, replicating the national trend where the gap between girls and boys is 0.2 percentage point. In Leeds both groups are below the national figure.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: Leeds data - local; national - DfE Statistical First Release SFR39/2015
Data Notes: As local data has been used there is no ranking or national quartile analysis for this dashboard.

2014-15 Percentage attendance in secondary schools, half terms 1-4 - CLA


Change from last
year

$0.4 \%$ point

Key Issues: Attendance for CLA has improved by 0.4 per cent points in 2015. The attendance gap between all pupils and CLA is 0.4 per cent points.

Data Status: Final
Data Source: Leeds all data
Leeds CLA data - local mainstream schools only
Data Notes: There is no national breakdown of primary and secondary attendance for CLA and as local data has been used there is no ranking or national quartile analysis for this dashboard.

2014-15 Percentage attendance in secondary schools, half terms 1-4 - year group


